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## Appendix A: Overall Framework

* The indicator options below are meant to be illustrative, but not comprehensive

| Overall Academic Growth Required | Academic I <br> Choose at least one | Academic II Choose at least one | Academic Growth Choose two | School Success and Quality Choose at least one | All Students Achieve Choose two |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth in students proficient or above in math and reading | Percentage of students proficient or above in math and reading on state assessment | Percentage of students proficient or above in science | Percentage of students who move from basic to proficient or above in reading or math in one academic year | Percentage of students who complete advanced coursework or an experiential/laboratory learning course | Percentage point decrease in achievement gap in reading and math among students in all subgroups or between students in two targeted subgroups and the highest-performing subgroup |
| Subgroup Performance Required | Percentage of students proficient or above in math and reading on stateapproved, normreferenced assessment (e.g. SAT-10, NAEP) | Percentage of students proficient or above in social studies | Percentage of students who move from proficient to advanced in reading or math in one academic year | Overall percentage of time missed by students due to out-of-class or out-of-school discipline | Percentage point decrease in achievement gap in reading and math between students performing in top and bottom quartiles |
| Percentage of students proficient in math and reading in all subgroups | Percentage of students proficient or above on to-be-determined early elementary state assessment | Percentage of students proficient or above in music or arts | Growth in percentage of $3^{\text {rd }}$ graders proficient and above in reading | Growth in educator evaluation ratings in one academic year | Percentage point gap between rates of student discipline between white and non-white students |
| Progress Toward English Language Proficiency Required |  | Percentage of students who are advanced in science, social studies, music, or arts | Longitudinal growth in reading proficiency among students matriculating from Kindergarten to $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade | Percentage of students assigned to an educator rated effective or higher | Percentage point gap between rates of student discipline between general and low-income students |
| Growth in proficiency among English language learners |  | Percentage of students who complete advanced coursework or an experiential/laboratory learning course | Progress of lowestperforming students in reading in one academic year (e.g. moving from one score band to another) | Growth in percentage of students who are engaged in school (e.g. extracurricular activities, attendance, etc.) | Percentage point gap in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade reading proficiency between students in two targeted subgroups and the highest-performing subgroup |
|  |  |  | Growth among students at the low end of an achievement gap that is greater than the growth of students overall | Combined measures of student, parent, and educator satisfaction |  |
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## Profile of elementary school A

- Urban, 90\%+ FRL, 75\% Black, 20\% Hispanic/Latino, 5\% Other
- Grades 1-6, 435 students
- Performance contract results from prior year: met 3 out of 10 measures


## Profile of elementary school B

- Suburban, 50\% FRL, 50\% White, 40\% Hispanic/Latino, 10\% Black
- Grades K-5, 350 students
- Performance contract results from prior year: met 9 out of 10 measures


## Measures chosen (agreed to by both the school and the LEA) and performance

- White: met target established in the performance contract
- Gray: failed to meet target established in the performance contract

|  | Overall <br> Academic <br> Growth | Subgroup <br> Performance | Progress <br> Toward EL <br> Proficiency | Academic <br> I | Academic <br> II | Academic Growth |  <br> Quality | All Students Achieve |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Summative calculation for elementary school A

- Met 6 out of 10 measures
- Performance contract called for meeting 4 out of 10 measures
- Final grade: $\mathrm{C}+$


## Summative calculation for elementary school B

- Met 7 out of 10 measures
- Performance contract called for meeting 9 out of 10 measures
- Final grade: B-

